



EGNRET 2005 Projects

*EGNRET 24, Honolulu, Hawaii
May 17-19, 2005*

Cary Bloyd

Argonne National Laboratory



*A U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Science Laboratory
Operated by The University of Chicago*



Five EGNRET Projects were endorsed by the EWG

- **APEC 21st Century Renewable Energy Development Initiative (Collaborative V III) Local Banks Training Program for Financing Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Projects. (TILF Mexico lead, \$100,000 total/\$50,000 APEC)**
- **APEC 21st Century Renewable Energy Development Initiative (Collaborative V I) Evaluation of the Role of Village Power Applications in Response to the Tsunami Recovery Effort. (OA USA lead, \$75,000 total/\$50,000 APEC)**
- **APEC 21st Century Renewable Energy Development Initiative (Collaborative V III) Information Sharing on Financing Public Sector Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Projects. (OA USA lead, \$75,000 total/\$50,000 APEC) .**

Five EGNRET Projects were endorsed by the EWG (cont'd)

- **APEC 21st Century Renewable Energy Development Initiative (Collaborative V I) Renewable Energy Products Database: Paving the Way for Deployment of Renewable Energy. (OA Thailand lead, \$75,000 total/\$50,000 APEC)**
- **APEC 21st Century Renewable Energy Development Initiative (Collaborative VI): Handbook for Developing City/State Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Programs in APEC Member Economies. (OA USA lead, \$100,000 total/\$75,000 APEC)**

New 'quality assessment framework' (QAF)

- 1. Does the proposal clearly state which APEC priority theme will be addressed?**
- 2. If the proposed project is not linked to the six priority themes then is it still justified?**
- 3. Will the objectives clearly contribute to the priority theme?**
- 4. Are the objectives measurable and achievable?**
- 5. Is the proposal based on sufficient background research and an understanding of the situation?**
- 6. Does the project proposal clearly address any relevant cross cutting issues?**
- 7. Does the proposal conform to the 'Framework for the Integration of Women in APEC?'**
- 8. Is the implementation methodology clear and appropriate?**
- 9. Is funding support sufficient for project activities?**
- 10. Does the proposal comply with APEC financial procedures?**

New 'quality assessment framework' (QAF)

- 11.** Is there financial support and participation offered from either the private sector, international funding institutions, or non-government organisations?
- 12.** Have the main risks to successful implementation been identified and are they manageable?
- 13.** Is there a plan for monitoring and reporting?
- 14.** Will the proposed outputs meet beneficiary needs?
- 15.** Does the proposal have a strategy to make project benefits sustainable?
- 16.** Are there synergies (and/or leverage) between this project and other ones that may consolidate benefits and sustainability?
- 17.** Is there a plan for the dissemination of information and useful project findings?
- 18.** Any other relevant points?
- 19.** Final statement of suitability for APEC funding